

Single Bench

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. NO. 5000 / 2004

PETITIONER

: Khamn Singh Dhurve S/o Late
Rajaram Dhurve, aged about 33 years,
R/o Bhimbhouri, Post Deori, Tahsil
Dhamdha, Dist: Durg (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary,
Department of Education, B.K.S.
Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).
2. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through : Secretary, Department of
Education, Bhopal (M.P.).
3. Union of India, Delhi.
4. Collector, Durg.
5. District Education Officer, Durg.
6. Block Development Education Officer
Tahsil Dhamdha, Dist : Durg (C.G.).



RECEIVED COPY
THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Sr. Cent. Govt. Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF
INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS,
CERTIORARI AND OTHER APPROPRIATE WRITS/ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

40

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.5000 OF 2004

Petitioner : Khamn Singh Dhurve
versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Ms. Sudha Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. V.V.S.Murthy, Deputy Advocate General for
respondents No.1, 4, 5 and 6.
None appears for respondents No.2 and 3.

ORAL ORDER
(21st April, 2006)

The petitioner's father Shri Rajaram Dhurve died on 29-10-1999 in harness while working as Head Master in Primary School, at Deori. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an application for compassionate appointment was immediately thereafter filed by the petitioner which was duly processed by respondent No.6 vide Annexure P/1, but till date, his application for compassionate appointment has not been decided.

2. The Government of Chhattisgarh, by its circular dated 2-2-2006, has directed all the departments to consider cases of all the eligible dependant-legal heir of the employees, who died in harness between 1-11-1997 to 31-10-2000 for compassionate appointment.

3. Accordingly, the Government of Chhattisgarh is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2-

91

2-2006 and also in view of the order dated 20-12-2005 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.1322/2002 and other connected matters on its own merit, in accordance with law, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

4. For the reasons stated above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge